

A Bibliography of Revealed Preference Random Utility Models in Recreation Demand

Please let me know of any errors or omissions. gparsons@udel.edu

1. Adamowicz, W. L.; 1994. Habit Formation and Variety Seeking in a Discrete Choice Model of Recreation Demand. *Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics*. 19(1):19-31.
{Fishing, Lakes and Rivers, Alberta, 1990}
2. Adamowicz, W. L.; Louviere, J., and Williams, M.; 1994. Combining Revealed and Stated Preference Methods for Valuing Environmental Amenities. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*. 26:271-292.
{Waterbased recreation, Lakes and Rivers, Alberta, 1991}
3. Adamowicz, Wiktor; Swait, Joffre; Boxall, Peter, and Williams, Michael; 1997. Perceptions Versus Objective Measures of Environmental Quality in Combined Revealed and Stated Preference Models of Environmental Evaluation. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*. 32:65-84.
{Moose hunting, Alberta, 1992}
4. Ahn, Soeun, deSteiguer, Joeseeph, Palmquist, Raymond B., and Holmes, Thomas P.; 1998. Economic Analysis of the Potential Impact of Climate Change on Recreational Trout Fishing in the Southern Appalachian Mountains: an Application of a Nested Logit Multinomial Logit Model. North Carolina State University.
{Trout fishing, Mountain streams, North Carolina, 1996}
5. Andrews, Thomas; 1997. A Discrete Choice Model of Recreational Trout Fishing in Pennsylvania. West Chester University.
{Trout fishing, Lakes and Rivers, Pennsylvania, 1991}
6. Andrews, Thomas P.; 1996. Management Alternatives and Trout Angler Benefits in Pennsylvania. Ph.D. Dissertation, Temple University.
{Trout fishing, Lakes and Rivers, Pennsylvania, 1991}
7. Arndorfer, David J. and Bockstael, Nancy; 1987. Estimating the Effects of King Mackerel Bag Limits on Charter Boat Captains and Anglers. Environmental Resources Management-North Central, Inc., Report to the National Marine Fisheries Service.
{Fishing, Ocean, Florida, 1985}
8. Berman, Matt; Haley, Sharman; Hill, Alexandra; Kim, Hongjin; Knapp, Gunnar; Goldsmith, Scott; Kruse, Jack; Hanna, Virgene, and Leask, Linda; 1996. Economic Effects of Management Changes for Kenai River Late-Run Sockeye. University of Alaska Anchorage, Report to the Alaska Department of Fish and Game .
{Fishing; Lakes, Rivers and Estuary; Alaska; 1993}
9. Berman, Matthew; Haley, Sharman, and Kim, Hongjin; 1997. Estimating Net Benefits of Reallocation: Discrete Choice Models of Sport and Commercial Fishing. *Marine Resource Economics*. 12:307-327.
{Fishing; Lakes, Rivers and Estuary; Alaska; 1993}
10. Bockstael, Nancy; Graefe, Alan; Strand, Ivar, and Caldwell, Linda; 1986. Economic Analysis of Artificial Reefs: A Pilot Study of Selected Valuation Methodologies. Artificial Reef Development Center Technical Report Series.
{Fishing, Ocean, South Carolina, 1985}

11. Bockstael, Nancy; Hanemann, W. Michael, and Kling, Catherine L.; 1987. Estimating the Value of Water Quality Improvements in a Recreational Demand Framework. *Water Resources Research*. 23(5):951-960.
{Beach use, Lakes and Ocean, Boston, 1974}
12. Bockstael, Nancy; Hanemann, W. Michael, and Strand, Ivar E. Jr.; 1984. Measuring the Benefits of Water Quality Improvements Using Recreation Demand Models. University of Maryland, Report to the USEPA.
{Beach use, Lakes and Ocean, Boston, 1974}
13. Bockstael, Nancy; McConnell, K. E., and Strand, I. E.; 1988. Benefits From Improvements in Chesapeake Bay Water Quality Volume II. University of Maryland.
{Beach use, Chesapeake Bay, 1984}
14. Bockstael, Nancy; McConnell, K. E., and Strand, I. E.; 1989. A Random Utility Model for Sportfishing: Some Preliminary Results for Florida. *Marine Resource Economics*. 6:245-260.
{Fishing, Ocean, Florida, 1985}
15. Boxall, Peter C.; 1995. The Economic Value of Lottery-Rationed Recreational Hunting. *Canadian Journal of Agricultural Economics*. 43:119-131.
{Hunting, Alberta, 1986}
16. Boxall, Peter C.; Watson, David O., and Englin, Jeffrey; 1996. Backcountry Recreationists' Valuation of Forest and Park Management Features in Wilderness Parks of the Western Canadian Shield. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research*. 26(6):982-990.
{Backcountry recreation, Manitoba, 1993}
17. Breffle, William S. and Morey, Edward R.; 1999. Investigating Heterogeneity of Preferences in a Repeated Logit Recreation Demand Model Using Rp Data. University of Colorado.
{Salmon fishing, Rivers, Maine and Canada, 1989}
18. Carson, Richard T., Hanemann, W. Michael, and Wegge, Thomas C.; 1989. A Nested Logit Model of Recreational Fishing Demand in Alaska. University of California San Diego.
{Fishing; Lakes, Rivers, Estauries and Oceans; Alaska; 1986}
19. Caulkins, Peter P.; 1982. An Empirical Study of the Recreational Benefits Generated by a Water Quality Improvement. Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Wisconsin-Madison.
{Waterbased recreation, Lakes, Wisconsin, 1978}
20. Caulkins, Peter P.; Bishop, Richard C., and Bouwes, Nicholaas W. Sr.; 1986. The Travel Cost Model for Lake Recreation: a Comparison of Two Methods for Incorporating Site Quality and Substitution Effects. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*. 68(2):291-297.
{Waterbased recreation, Lakes, Wisconsin, 1978}
21. Chen, Heng Z.; 1994. A Discrete Choice Model With Multiple Periods. Michigan State University.
{No Application}
22. Chen, Heng Z. and Cosslett, Stephen R.; 1998. Environmental Quality Preference and Benefit Estimation in Multinomial Probit Models: a Simulation Approach. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*. 80(3):512-520.
{Fishing, Great Lakes, Michigan, 1983-84 }
23. Chen, Heng Z., Hoehn, John P., Lupi, Frank, and Tomasi, Theodore; 1995. A Repeated Logit Model of Fishing Participation, Site Choice, and Trip Duration. Michigan State University.

{Fishing, Lakes and Rivers, Michigan, 1993}

24. Coyne, A. and Adamowicz, W.; 1992. Modelling Choice of Site for Hunting Bighorn Sheep. *Wildlife Society Bulletin*. 20:26-33.
{Bighorn sheep hunting, Alberta, 19??}
25. Creel, Michael and Loomis, John; 1992. Recreation Value of Water to Wetlands in the San Joaquin Valley: Linked Multinomial Logit and Count Data Trip Frequency Models. *Water Resources Research*. 28(10):2597-2606.
{Hunting, Fishing and Viewing; Rivers and Wetlands; California; 1988-89}
26. Crookshank, S. L.; 1990. A Random Utility Model of Waterfowl Hunting. Master's Thesis, University of Delaware.
{Hunting, Delaware, 1988-89}
27. Desvousges, William H. and Waters, Steven M.; 1995. Report on Potential Economic Losses Associated With Recreation Services in the Upper Clark Fork River Basin. Triangle Economic Research.
{Fishing, Lakes and Rivers, Montana, 1992-93}
28. Desvousges, William H.; Waters, Steven M., and Train, Kenneth E.; 1996. Supplemental Report on Potential Economic Losses Associated With Recreation Services in the Upper Clark Fork River Basin. Triangle Economic Research.
{Fishing, Lakes and Rivers, Montana, 1992-93}
29. Englin, J. E.; Cameron, T. A.; Mendelsohn, R. E.; Parsons, George R., and Shankle, S. A.; 1991. Valuation of Damages to Recreational Trout Fishing in the Upper Northeast Due to Acidic Deposition . Battelle Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Report to the National Acidic Precipitation Assesment Program.
{Fishing, Lakes and Rivers, New England, 1989}
30. Fadali, Elizabeth and Shaw, Douglass; 1998. Can Recreation Values for a Lake Constitute a Market for Banked Agricultural Water? *Contemporary Economic Policy*. XVI:433-441.
{Lake recreation, Nevada, 1996}
31. Feather, Peter M.; 1994. Sampling and Aggregation Issues in Random Utility Model Estimation. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*. 76(4):772-780.
{Fishing, Lakes, Minnesota, 1989}
32. Feather, Peter M. and Hellerstein, Daniel; 1997. Calibrating Benefit Function Transfer to Assess the Conservation Reserve Program . *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*. 79:151-162.
{Waterbased recreation; Lakes, Rivers and Wetlands; Indiana, Nebraska, Pennsylvania and Washington; 1992}
33. Feather, Peter M.; Hellerstein, Daniel, and Tomasi, Theodore; 1995. A Discrete-Count Model of Recreational Demand. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*. 29:214-227.
{Fishing, Lakes, Minnesota, 1989}
34. Feenberg, D. and Mills, E. S.; 1980. "Empirical studies of instream benefits." Chapter 7 in Measuring the Benefits of Water Pollution Abatement. Academic Press, New York.
{Beach use, Lakes and Ocean, Boston, 1974}

35. Fletcher, Jerald J.; Adamowicz, Wiktor L., and Graham-Tomasi, Theodore; 1990. The Travel Cost Model of Recreation Demand: Theoretical and Empirical Issues. *Leisure Sciences*. 12:119-147.
{Review (pages 132-134)}
36. Freeman III, A. Myrick; 1993. "Recreational uses of natural resource systems." Chapter 13 in The Measurement of Environmental and Resource Values, Theory and Methods. Resources for the Future, Washington, DC.
{Review (pages 469-472)}
37. Gibson, Wayne T.; 1996. Modeling the Participation Decision in a Random Utility Framework: An Empirical, Comparative Analysis of Two Methods. University of Delaware.
{Fishing, Lakes and Rivers, Maine, 1989}
38. Greene, Gretchen; Moss, Charles B., and Spreen, Thomas H.; 1997. Demand for Recreational Fishing in Tampa Bay, Florida: a Random Utility Approach . *Marine Resource Economics*. 12:293-305.
{Fishing; Ocean and Estuary; Tampa Bay, FL; 1991-92 }
39. Haab, Timothy C. and Hicks, Robert L.; 1997. Accounting for Choice Set Endogeneity in Random Utility Models of Recreation Demand. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*. 34(2):127-147.
{Beach use; New Bedford, Massachusetts; 1987 and Beach use, Chesapeake Bay, 1983}
40. Hanemann, W. Michael; 1978. A Methodological and Empirical Study of the Recreation Benefits From Water Quality Improvements. Ph.D. Dissertation, Harvard University.
{Beach use, Lakes and Ocean, Boston, 1974}
41. Hanemann, W. Michael; Carson, Richard T.; Gum, Russell, and Mitchell, Robert; 1987. Southcentral Alaska Sport Fishing Economic Study. Jones & Stokes Associates, Inc., Report to the Alaska Department of Fish and Game.
{Fishing; Lakes, Rivers, Estuaries and Ocean; Alaska; 1986}
42. Hauber, A. Brett and Parsons, George R.; 1998. The Effect of Nesting Structure Specification on Welfare Estimation in Random Utility Models: an Application to the Demand for Recreational Fishing. Research Triangle Institute.
{Fishing, Lakes and Rivers, Maine, 1989}
43. Hausman, J. A.; Leonard, G. K., and McFadden, D.; 1993. "Assessing use value losses caused by natural resource injury." in Contingent Valuation. Elsevier Science Publishers, Amsterdam.
{Fishing, Boating, Hunting and Viewing; Lakes, Rivers, Oceans and Estuaries; Alaska; 1988-89}
44. Hausman, J. A.; Leonard, G. K., and McFadden, D.; 1995. A Utility-Consistent, Combined Discrete Choice and Count Data Model: Assessing Recreational Use Losses Due to Natural Resource Damage. *Journal of Public Economics*. 56:1-30.
{Fishing, Boating, Hunting and Viewing; Lakes, Rivers, Estuaries and Ocean; Alaska; 1988-89 }
45. Herriges, Joseph A. and Kling, Catherine L.; 1997. The Performance of Nested Logit Models When Welfare Estimation Is the Goal. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*. 79:792-802.
{Monte Carlo Study}

46. Hicks, Robert L. and Strand, Ivar; 1997. The Extent of Information: Its Relevance for Random Utility Models. National Marine Fisheries Service/University of Maryland.
{Beach use, Chesapeake Bay, 1984}
47. Hoehn, J. P.; Tomasi, Theodore; Lupi, Frank, and Chen, Heng Z.; 1996. An Economic Model for Valuing Recreational Angling Resources in Michigan. Michigan State University, Report to the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality.
{Fishing; Great Lakes, Lakes and Rivers; Michigan; 1994-95}
48. Jakus, Paul M.; Dadakas, Dimitrios, and Fly, J. Mark; 1998. Fish Consumption Advisories: Incorporating Angler-Specific Knowledge, Habits, and Catch Rates in a Site Choice Model. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*. 80(5):1019-1024.
{Fishing, Reservoirs, Tennessee, 1997}
49. Jakus, Paul M.; Downing, Mark; Bevelhimer, Mark S., and Fly, J. Mark; 1997. Do Sportfish Consumption Advisories Affect Reservoir Anglers' Site Choice ? *Agricultural and Resource Economics Review*. 26(2):196-204.
{Fishing, Reservoirs, Tennessee, 1994}
50. Jones, Carol A. and Lupi, Frank; 1997. The Effect of Modeling Substitute Activities on Recreational Benefit Estimates: Is More Better ? Michigan State University.
{Fishing, Lakes and Rivers, Michigan, 1983-84}
51. Jones, Carol A. and Sung, Yusen D.; 1991. Valuation of Environmental Quality at Michigan Recreational Fishing Sites: Methodological Issues and Policy Applications. University of Michigan, Report to the USEPA.
{Fishing, Lakes and Rivers, Michigan, 1983-84}
52. Jones, Carol Adaire, Sung, Yusen D., and Chen, Heng Z.; 1997. Modeling Demand for Recreational Trips of Differing Lengths: A Competing Risks Duration Model With Censoring and Time-Varying Covariates. NOAA Damage Assessment Center.
{Fishing, Lakes and Rivers, Michigan, 1983-84}
53. Kaoru, Yoshiaki; 1995. Measuring Marine Recreation Benefits of Water Quality Improvements by the Nested Random Utility Model. *Resource and Energy Economics* . 17:119-136.
{Fishing, Estuaries, North Carolina, 1981-82}
54. Kaoru, Yoshiaki; Smith, Kerry, and Liu, Jin Long; 1995. Using Random Utility Models to Estimate the Recreational Value of Estuarine Resources. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*. 77:141-151.
{Fishing, Estuaries, North Carolina, 1981-82}
55. Kling, Catherine L.; 1988. Comparing Welfare Estimates of Environmental Quality Changes From Recreation Demand Models. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*. 15:331-340.
{Monte Carlo Study}
56. Kling, Catherine L.; 1986. Measuring the Recreational Benefits of Environmental Amenities Using Recreation Demand Models: An Evaluation of Methods. Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Maryland.
{Monte Carlo Study}
57. Kling, Catherine L.; 1987. A Simulation Approach to Comparing Multiple Site Recreation Demand Models Using Chesapeake Bay Survey Data. *Marine Resource Economics*. 4(2):95-109.
{Monte Carlo Study}

58. Kling, Catherine L. and Herriges, Joseph A.; 1995. An Empirical Investigation of the Consistency of Nested Logit Model With Utility Maximization. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*. 77:875-884.
{Fishing, Ocean, Southern California, 1989}
59. Kling, Catherine L. and Thomson, Cynthia J.; 1996. The Implications for Model Specification for Welfare Estimation in Nested Logit Models. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*. 78:103-114.
{Fishing, Ocean, Southern California, 1989}
60. Kratzer, Julia Eve; 1994. Using a Random Utility Model to Estimate Welfare Benefits of Reducing Eutrophication and Toxin Levels in Maine Waterways. Honors Bachelor's Thesis, University of Delaware.
{Fishing, Lakes and Rivers, Maine, 1989}
61. Lupi, Frank; 1998. Increasing at an Increasing Rate: the Potential Convexity of Discrete-Choice Welfare Measures. Michigan State University.
{Fishing, Lakes and Rivers, Michigan, 1983-84}
62. Lupi, Frank; 1998. A Tale of Tails: The Role of Choice Occasions in Repeated-Logit Demand Models. Michigan State University.
{Fishing, Lakes and rivers, Michigan, 1983-84}
63. Lupi, Frank, Chen, Heng Z., Tomasi, Theodore, and Hoehn, John P.; 1994. Site-Specific Qualitative Variables in Random Utility Travel Cost Models. Department of Agricultural Economics at Michigan State University.
{Fishing, Lakes and Rivers, Michigan, 1983-84}
64. Lupi, Frank and Feather, Peter M.; forthcoming. Using Partial Aggregation to Reduce Bias in Random Utility Travel Cost Models. *Water Resources Research*.
{Fishing, Lakes, Minnesota, 1989}
65. Lupi, Frank and Hoehn, John P.; 1998. The Effect of Trip Lengths on Travel Cost Parameters in Recreation Demand. Michigan State University.
{Trout and salmon fishing, Great Lakes, Michigan, 1994}
66. Lupi, Frank and Hoehn, John P.; 1998. A Partial Benefit-Cost Analysis of Sea Lamprey Treatment on the St. Marys River. Michigan State University.
{Trout and salmon fishing, Great Lakes, Michigan, 1994}
67. Lupi, Frank and Hoehn, John P.; 1997. Recreational Fishing Use-Values for Michigan's Great Lake Trout and Salmon Fisheries. Michigan State University.
{Fishing; Great Lakes, Lakes, and Rivers; Michigan; 1994 }
68. Lyke, Audrey J.; 1993. Discrete Choice Models to Value Changes in Environmental Quality: a Great Lakes Case Study. Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Wisconsin-Madison.
{Fishing, Great Lakes, Wisconsin, 1990}
69. Lyke, Audrey J.; 1994. Travel Cost Values for Sport Fishing in Wisconsin Waters of the Great Lakes. University of Wisconsin.
{Fishing, Great Lakes, Wisconsin, 1990}
70. McCarthy, Patrick S., Tay, Richard, and Fletcher, Jerald J.; 1991. Estimating the Value of Water Quality Improvements From a Fully Discrete Model of Recreational Fishing. Purdue University.

{Fishing, Hunting and Wildlife recreation; Indiana; 1985}

71. McConnell, K. E.; 1995. Consumer Surplus From Discrete Choice Models. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*. 29:263-270.
{No Application}
72. McConnell, K. E. and Strand, I. E.; 1994. The Economic Value of Mid and South Atlantic Sportfishing. University of Maryland, Report to the USEPA and NOAA.
{Fishing; Ocean, Mid to South Atlantic; 1987-88}
73. McConnell, K. E.; Strand, Ivar, and Blake-Hedges, Lynne; 1995. Random Utility Models of Recreational Fishing: Catching Fish Using a Poisson Process. *Marine Resource Economics*. 10:247-261.
{Fishing, Ocean, Maryland, 1987-88}
74. Mendelsohn, R. E., Matzin, Rosa, Peterson, George, and Rosenthal, Donald; 1989. Using Discrete Choice Models for Measuring Welfare. Yale University.
{No Application}
75. Milon, J. Walter; 1988. A Nested Demand Shares Model of Artificial Marine Habitat Choice by Sport Anglers. *Marine Resource Economics*. 5:191-213.
{Fishing, Ocean, Florida, 1985}
76. Milon, J. Walter; 1988. Travel Cost Methods for Estimating the Recreational Use Benefits of Artificial Marine Habitat. *Southern Journal of Agricultural Economics*. 20(1):87-101.
{Fishing, Ocean, Florida, 1985}
77. Montgomery, Mark and Needelman, Michael; 1997. The Welfare Effects of Toxic Contamination in Freshwater Fish. *Land Economics*. 73:211-273.
{Fishing, Lakes, New York, 1989}
78. Morey, Edward; 1981. The Demand for Site-Specific Recreational Activities: A Characteristics Approach. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*. 8:345-371.
{Skiing, Colorado, 1968}
79. Morey, Edward; forthcoming. "Two rums uncloaked: nested-logit models of site choice and nested-logit models of participation and site choice." Chapter ? in Valuing Recreation and the Environment: Revealed Preference Methods in Theory and Practice (Eds. C.L. Kling and J.A. Herriges). Edward Elgar , Aldershot UK.
80. Morey, Edward R., Breffle, William S., and Greene, Pamela A.; 1998. Two Nested CES Models of Recreational Participation and Site Choice: an 'Alternatives' Model and an 'Expenditures' Model. University of Colorado (<http://spot.Colorado.edu/~morey/index.html>).
{Salmon fishing, Rivers, Maine, 1988}
81. Morey, Edward R and Waldman, Donald M.; 1998. Measurement Error in Recreation Demand Models: the Joint Estimation of Participation, Site Choice, and Site Characteristics. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*. 35(3):262-276.
{Fishing, Rivers, Montana, 1992}
82. Morey, Edward and Rowe, Robert D.; 1993. Assessment of Damages to Anglers and Other Recreators From Injuries to the Upper Clark Fork River Basin. RCG/Hagler Bailly, Report to the State of Montana .
{Fishing, Rivers, Montana, 1992}

83. Morey, Edward; Rowe, Robert D., and Waldman, Donald; 1995. Revised Report and Rebuttal: Assessment of Damages to Anglers and Other Recreators From Injuries to the Upper Clark Fork River Basin. Hagler Bailly Consulting, Inc., Report to the State of Montana Natural Resource Damage Litigation Program.
84. Morey, Edward; Rowe, Robert D., and Watson, Michael; 1993. A Repeated Nested-Logit Model of Atlantic Salmon Fishing. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*. 75:578-592.
{Salmon fishing, Rivers, Maine and Canada, 1988}
85. Morey, Edward; Shaw, W. Douglass, and Rowe, Robert D.; 1991. A Discrete-Choice Model of Recreational Participation, Site Choice, and Activity Valuation When Complete Trip Data Are Not Available. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*. 20:181-201.
{Fishing, Ocean, Oregon, 1981}
86. Needelman, Michael; 1991. The Aggregation of Alternatives in a Discrete Choice Model of Recreation Demand. Master's Thesis, University of Delaware.
{Fishing, Lakes, Wisconsin, 1978}
87. Needelman, Michael and Kealy, Mary Jo; 1995. Recreational Swimming Benefits of New Hampshire Lake Water Quality Policies: An Application of a Repeated Discrete Choice Model. *Agricultural and Resource Economics Review*. 24:78-87.
{Swimming, Lakes, New Hampshire, 1989}
88. Parsons, George R. and Hauber, A. Brett; 1998. Spatial Boundaries and Choice Set Definition in a Random Utility Model of Recreation Demand. *Land Economics*. 74(1):32-48.
{Fishing, Lakes and Rivers, Maine, 1989}
89. Parsons, George R.; Jakus, Paul M., and Tomasi, Ted; forthcoming. A Comparison of Welfare Estimates From Four Models for Linking Seasonal Recreation Trips to Multinomial Logit Models of Site Choice. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*.
{Fishing, Reservoirs, Tennessee, 1994}
90. Parsons, George R. and Kealy, Mary Jo; 1994. Benefits Transfer in a Random Utility Model of Recreation. *Water Resources Research*. 30(8):2477-2484.
{General Recreation, Lakes, Wisconsin, 1978}
91. Parsons, George R. and Kealy, Mary Jo; 1995. A Demand Theory for Number of Trips in a Random Utility Model of Recreation. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*. 29:357-367.
92. Parsons, George R. and Kealy, Mary Jo; 1992. Randomly Drawn Opportunity Sets in a Random Utility Model of Lake Recreation. *Land Economics*. 68(1):93-106.
{Fishing, Boating, Swimming, Viewing, Lakes, Wisconsin, 1978}
93. Parsons, George R. and Needelman, Michael; 1993. A Sequential Trip/Multinomial Site Choice Model of Recreation Demand. University of Delaware.
{Waterbased Recreation, Lakes, Wisconsin, 1978}
94. Parsons, George R. and Needelman, Michael; 1992. Site Aggregation in a Random Utility Model of Recreation. *Land Economics*. 68(4):418-433.
{Fishing, Lakes, Wisconsin, 1978}
95. Parsons, George R.; Plantinga, Andrew J., and Boyle, Kevin J.; 2000. Narrow Choice Sets in

Random Utility Models of Recreation Demand . *Land Economics*. 76(1).
{Fishing, Lakes, Maine, 1994 }

96. Pendleton, Linwood H. and Mendelsohn, Robert; 1998. Estimating the Economic Impact of Climate Change on the Freshwater Sportsfisheries of the Northeastern U.S. *Land Economics*. 74(4):483-96.
{Fishing, Lakes, New England, 1989}
97. Peters, T.; Adamowicz, W. L., and Boxall, Peter C.; 1995. Influence of Choice Set Considerations in Modeling the Benefits From Improved Water Quality. *Water Resources Research*. 31(7):1781-1787.
{Hunting, Alberta, 1981}
98. Phaneuf, Daniel J.; Kling, Catherine L., and Herriges, Joseph A.; 1998. Valuing Water Quality Improvements Using Revealed Preference Methods When Corner Solutions Are Present. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*. 80(5):1025-1031.
{Fishing, Wisconsin Great Lakes, 1990}
99. Rowe, Robert D.; Michelson, Ari M., and Morey, Edward; 1989. Fishing for Atlantic Salmon in Maine: An Investigation into Angler Activity and Management Options. RCG/Hagler, Bailly, Inc., Report to the Bangor Hydro-Electric Company.
{Fishing, Rivers, Maine, 1988}
100. Sandefur, Robert A., Johnson, F. Reed, and Fowler, Robert B.; 1997. An Introduction to the Random Utility Model. Research Triangle Institute.
{Review}
101. Schuhmann, Peter William; 1997. Deriving Species-Specific Benefits Measures for Expected Catch Improvements in a Random Utility Framework. University of Richmond.
{Ocean fishing, North Carolina, 1987-90}
102. Schuhmann, Peter William; 1996. A Welfare Analysis of Commercial Fishery Harvest Restrictions: A Bioeconomic Model of Red Drum Stock Dynamics and Recreation Demand. Ph.D. Dissertation, North Carolina State University.
{Ocean fishing, North Carolina, 1987-90}
103. Schuhmann, Peter William and Easley, J. E. Jr.; 1997. A Bioeconomic Model of Commercial-Recreational Fishery Allocation: RUM and Drum. University of Richmond.
{Ocean fishing, North Carolina, 1987-90}
104. Shaw, W. Douglass and Jakus, Paul M.; 1996. Travel Cost Models of the Demand for Rock Climbing. *Agricultural and Resource Economics Review*. 25:133-142.
{Rock Climbing, New York, 1993}
105. Shaw, W. Douglass and Ozog, Michael T.; 1998. Modeling Overnight Recreation Trip Choice: Application of a Repeated Nested Multinomial Logit Model. *Environmental and Resource Economics*. *Forthcoming*.
{Fishing, Rivers, Maine, 1988}
106. Shonkwiler, J. S. and Shaw, W. Douglass; 1996. A Discrete Choice Model of the Demand for Closely Related Goods: An Application to Recreation Decisions. University of Nevada-Reno.
{Rock Climbing, New York, 1993}
107. Siderelis, Christos; Brothers, Gene, and Rea, Phil; 1995. A Boating Choice Model for the

- Valuation of Lake Access. *Journal of Leisure Research*. 27(3):264-282.
{Boating, Lakes, North and South Carolina, 1992}
108. Smith, Kerry and Kaoru, Yoshiaki; 1986. Modeling Recreation Demand Within a Random Utility Framework. *Economics Letters*. 22:395-399.
{Waterbased recreation, Rivers, Pennsylvania, 1981}
 109. Smith, V. Kerry; 1997. Combining Discrete Choice and Count Data Models: a Comment. Duke University.
{No application}
 110. Smith, V. Kerry; 1997. "Pricing what is priceless: a status report on non-market valuation of environmental resources." Chapter 6 in The International Yearbook of Environmental and Resource Economics 1997/1998. Edward Elgar, Cheltenham, UK.
{Review (pages 162-167)}
 111. Tay, R. S. and McCarthy, P. S.; 1994. Benefits of Improved Water Quality: A Discrete Choice Analysis of Freshwater Recreational Demands. *Environment and Planning*. 26:1625-1638.
{Fishing, Lakes and Rivers, Indiana, 1985}
 112. Tomasi, Theodore D. and Lupi, Frank; 1996. Welfare Measures for Random Utility Models of Seasonal Recreation Demand. Entrex, Inc.
{No Application}
 113. Train, Kenneth E.; 1998. Recreation Demand Models With Taste Differences Over People. *Land Economics*. 74(2):230-239.
{Fishing, Lakes and Rivers, Montana, 1992-93}
 114. Train, Kenneth E.; forthcoming. "Mixed logit models for recreation demand." Chapter ? in Valuing Recreation and the Environment: Revealed Preference Methods in Theory and Practice (Eds. C.L. Kling and J.A. Herriges). Edward Elgar, Aldershot UK.
{No Application}
 115. von Haefen, Roger H.; forthcoming. Estimating the Benefits of Water Quality Improvements Within a Discrete-Continuous Framework. Ph.D. Dissertation, Duke University.
{Waterbased recreation; Lakes and Rivers; Pennsylvania and Maryland;1994}
 116. von Haefen, Roger H.; 1998. The Welfare Implications of Environmental Integrity for Recreation Site Definition. Duke University.
{Waterbased recreation; Lakes and Rivers; Pennsylvania and Maryland;1994 }
 117. Waters, Steven M.; 1993. Single Origin Zones and Multiple Sites: Extending the Discrete Choice Travel Cost Model. Ph.D. Dissertation, University of California, San Diego.
{Fishing; Lakes, Rivers, Estuaries and Ocean; Alaska; 1988}
 118. Waters, Steven M. and Dietz, Kevin J.; 1995. Measuring the Stability of Choice Set Randomization in Discrete Choice Models. Triangle Economic Research.
{Fishing, Rivers, Montana, 1992-93}
 119. Waters, Steven M., Johnson, F. Reed, and Fowler, Robert B.; 1995. Effects of Data Conditioning, Sample Design, and Aggregation on Random Utility Model Estimates: Some Monte Carlo Results. Triangle Economic Research.

{Monte Carlo Studies}

120. Watson, David O.; Adamowicz, Wiktor, and Boxall, Peter C.; 1994. An Economic Analysis of Recreational Fishing and Environmental Quality Changes in the Upper Oldman River Basin. *Canadian Water Resources Journal*. 19(3):213-225.
{Fishing, Rivers, Alberta, 1990}
121. Yen, Steven T. and Adamowicz, Wiktor; 1994. Participation, Trip Frequency and Site Choice: A Multinomial-Poisson Hurdle Model of Recreation Demand. *Canadian Journal of Agricultural Economics*. 42:65-76.
{Hunting, Alberta, 1981}